

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,170	10/28/2003	Tsutomu Ikeda	1232-5186	7633
27123 7590	11/18/2004		EXAM	INER
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. 3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER			SPECTOR, DAVID N	
NEW YORK, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2873	

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·				_40m		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/696,170	IKEDA, TSUTOMU			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		David N. Spector	2873			
Period f		ication appears on the cover sheet wit	n the correspondence address -	,		
A SH THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ensions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum st ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply	of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communica NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ition.		
Status						
1)[\implies]	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>10/28/03; 04/07/04; and 04/30/</u> 0)4 .			
2a)□	•	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	_			
3)		for allowance except for formal matter	rs, prosecution as to the merits	s is		
	closed in accordance with the practi	ice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the	application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-10</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election requirement.				
Applicat	tion Papers		·			
,	The specification is objected to by the					
10)⊠		er 2003 is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square				
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand				
_	•	g the correction is required if the drawing(
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form P1O-152			
-	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	D⊠ All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §				
		documents have been received in Ap of the priority documents have been				
	•	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eceived in this National Stage			
*	• •	on for a list of the certified copies not	eceived.			
	dee the attached detailed office asia	on to a local the columns copies here	333 32			
Attachme	nt(s)	•				
1) Noti	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)			
	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o	T)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (P10-1449 o er No(s)/Mail Date <u>20040430</u> .	6) ☑ Other: <u>DET</u>				

Application/Control Number: 10/696,170

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In particular, claim 9 is rendered indefinite by the use of the "pan shape" (Claim 9, Line 2). Claim 10 is rendered indefinite by the use of the term "pan space" (Claim 10, Line 1). Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, and 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by JP 2002-139749 (Seiko Epson Inc.). *EXAMINERS NOTE: JP 2002-139749 was cited by applicant in an Information Disclosure Statement filed 04/30/2004*.

In regard to Claim 1 An electrophoretic display 1 is disclosed in JP 2002-139749 ([0011]-[0012]; FIG. 1-3) which includes first substrate 3 (e.g. referred to as "second substrate" in JP 2002-139749) disposed on a viewer side (e.g. defined as "screen side" in JP 2002-139749) a second substrate 2 (e.g. referred to as "first substrate" in JP 2002-139749) disposed at a predetermined spacing with said first substrate 3, a first electrode 5 (e.g. referred to as "second electrode" in JP 2002-139749) disposed on said first substrate 3 side, a second electrode 4 (e.g. referred to as "first electrode" in JP 2002-139749) disposed on said second substrate 2 side, liquid 17 disposed at the predetermined spacing, and a plurality of charged particles 16 dispersed in the liquid 17, said charged particles being movable by changing a polarity of a voltage applied be-

Application/Control Number: 10/696,170

Art Unit: 2873

tween said first 5 and second electrodes 4 to effect display, wherein said second electrode 4 at a pixel has a surface area larger than that of said first electrode 5 at the pixel, which can clearly be seen in FIG. 1-3). Claim 1 of the instant application is therefore clearly anticipated by the disclosure of JP 2002-139749.

In regard to Claim 3 An electrophoretic display according to claim 1 from which claim 3 depends is disclosed in JP 2002-139749 ([0011]-[0012]; **FIG. 1-3**) wherein said first electrode **5** is also divided for a pixel ([0013]; **FIG. 3A**). Claim 3 is therefore clearly anticipated by the disclosure of JP 2002-139749.

In regard to Claim 4 An electrophoretic display according to claim 3 from which claim 4 depends is disclosed in JP 2002-139749 ([0011]-[0012]; FIG. 1-3) wherein said first electrode 5 is also connected to an associated switching device for the pixel. ([0028]). Claim 4 is therefore clearly anticipated by the disclosure of JP 2002-139749.

In regard to Claim 5 An electrophoretic display according to claim 1 from which claim 5 depends is disclosed in JP 2002-139749 ([0011]-[0012]; **FIG. 1-3**) wherein said second electrode 4 is also divided for a pixel ([0013]; **FIG. 3A**). Claim 5 is therefore clearly anticipated by the disclosure of JP 2002-139749.

In regard to Claim 6 An electrophoretic display according to claim 5 from which claim 6 depends is disclosed in JP 2002-139749 ([0011]-[0012]; FIG. 1-3) wherein said second electrode 4 is also connected to an associated switching device for the pixel. ([0028], [0039]). Claim 6 is therefore clearly anticipated by the disclosure of JP 2002-139749.

In regard to Claim 7 An electrophoretic display according to claim 1 from which claim 5 depends is disclosed in JP 2002-139749 ([0011]-[0012]; **FIG. 1-3**) wherein said charged particles **16** and said liquid **17** are also held in a microcapsule **7**. Claim 7 is therefore clearly anticipated by the disclosure of JP 2002-139749.

In regard to Claim 8 An electrophoretic display according to claim 1 from which claim 5 depends is disclosed in JP 2002-139749 ([0011]-[0012]; FIG. 1-3) wherein an insulating layer is disposed at the surfaces of said first 5 and second 4 electrodes ([0023]). Claim 8 is therefore clearly anticipated by the disclosure of JP 2002-139749.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: claim 2 is distinguished over the prior art made of record by the inclusion of an inner wall which is substantially covered with said first 5 and second 4 electrodes within each pixel of display.

Other Remarks/Information

- 6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).
- 7. Any other inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David N. Spector whose telephone number is (571) 272-2338. The examiner can normally be reached at this number Monday through Friday between 6:00 AM and 2:30 PM. The fax number for the organization where this application is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

November 12, 2004.

DAVID N. SPECTOR PRIMARY EXAMINER